

Environmental Services, Inc.

MITIGATION PLAN FOR PORT OF BEAUMONT SOUTH TERMINAL, PHASE I ORANGE COUNTY, TEXAS HJN 180201.001MI

PREPARED FOR:

PORT OF BEAUMONT BEAUMONT, TEXAS

PREPARED BY:

HORIZON ENVIRONMENTAL SERVICES, INC. AUSTIN, TEXAS

OCTOBER 2018



TABLE OF CONTENTS

SECTION		PAGE
LIST OF F	IGURE	ES iii
LIST OF A	APPEN	DICES
1.0	MITIG	ATION PLAN OVERVIEW1
	1.1	MITIGATION OBJECTIVES1
	1.2	SITE SELECTION1
	1.3	SITE PROTECTION1
	1.4	BASELINE INFORMATION1
	1.5	MITIGATION ACREAGE
	1.6	SUFFICIENCY OF PROPOSED MITIGATION
	1.7	MITIGATION WORK PLAN
	1.8	MAINTENANCE PLAN7
	1.9	ECOLOGICAL PERFORMANCE STANDARDS7
	1.10	MONITORING REQUIREMENTS8
	1.11	LONG-TERM MANAGEMENT9
	1.12	ADAPTIVE MANAGEMENT PLAN9
	1.13	FINANCIAL ASSURANCES10
2.0	RESP	ONSIBLE PARTIES AND MITIGATION
	MONI	TORING AND REPORTING10
3.0	CONS	SERVATION STEWARDSHIP10
4.0	MITIG	ATION SCHEDULE

Horizon.

Environmental Services, Inc.

LIST OF FIGURES

FIGUE	FIGURE PAG						
1	MITIGATION SITE LOCATION	2					
1	MITIGATION SITE	5					
2	TYPICAL PLANTING PLAN X-SECTION	6					

LIST OF APPENDICES

APPENDIX

A iHGM ANALYSIS

nvironmental Services, Inc.

1.0 MITIGATION PLAN OVERVIEW

1.1 Mitigation Objectives

The proposed mitigation plan will aid in stabilization and hasten the restoration of the larger BU area within the Rose City Marsh area that is being restored to marsh habitat through beneficial use of dredged materials by the project. The BU disposal plan by the Port of Beaumont for this project (see Dredged Material Management Plan) will provide the dredged materials to raise the floor elevation of subsided open water areas to suitable marsh contours (approximately +1.2 MSL). Prior to BU disposal, reference elevations of adjacent marsh will be acquired and used to determine the final elevation of the BU materials. The planting of marsh vegetation proposed herein will significantly aid stabilization of erosive edges and hasten marsh development and maturation with a resultant increase in the functional values of the entire area.

1.2 Site Selection

The 25 acre planting area is part of an approximate 350 acre area being restored by beneficial use of dredged materials in the Rose City Marsh area (see Figure 1). This site was selected due to its proximity to the project site (within 1/2 mile) to facilitate the beneficial use disposal of dredged materials and due to its direct benefit to the Neches River and estuary.

1.3 Site Protection

The mitigation area is currently owned by Mr. Edwin Arnaud. The mitigation area will be protected by conservation easement to be held by Sabine Neches Conservation, Inc.

1.4 Baseline Information

The mitigation site is currently considered open tidal water that has resulted by subsidence and salt water intrusion. The historical marshes that previously existed in this area were predominantly situated above the mean high tide line, but were subject to periodic high tides and flood events. Representative vegetation within the historical marsh areas included common reed (*Phragmites australis*), cattail (*Typha latifolia*), saltmeadow cordgrass (*Spartina patens*), salt marsh bulrush (*Scirpus robustus*.), smooth cordgrass (*Spartina alterniflora*), bulltongue (*Sagittaria lancifolia*), saltgrass (*Distichlis spicata*), black rush (*Juncus roemerianus*), giant bulrush (*Schenoplectis californicus*), and southern blue flag iris (*Iris virginica*), with stands of bald cypress (*Taxodium distichum*) and water tupelo (*Nyssa aqautica*).





1.5 Mitigation Acreage

The 25 acre mitigation parcel is part of a several-hundred acre tract owned by Mr. Edwin Arnaud. The Permittee will place dredged materials in approximately 300 acres of open water and degraded marsh in this area as part of their Dredged Materials Management Plan. The Permittee proposes to restore 25 acres of tidal marsh by planting suitable marsh species on the BU dredged materials.

1.6 Sufficiency of Proposed Mitigation

Impacts from the proposed project will include 20.6 acres of wetland, including tidal wetlands (13.72 ac) and non-tidal (6.88 ac) wetlands adjacent to the Neches River. The non-tidal wetlands are generally low quality due to being confined behind existing dredged material containment levees with little hydrological connectivity to the Neches River under normal conditions and a high degree of infestation by invasive species (tallow, giant cane). The 13.72 acres of tidal wetland have direct connectivity to the river, but are also highly infested with invasive species.

The proposed mitigation will create 25 acres of high quality herbaceous wetland directly connected to the Neches River. Additionally, the 25 acres of created herbaceous wetland will also contribute to the stabilization and vegetative colonization of the remaining beneficially deposited dredged material that will eventually re-develop into tidal wetland.

Project impacts and the proposed mitigation plan have been modeled with the Tidal Fringe iHGM. The results of the modeling are provided in Appendix A. The proposed mitigation plan meets or exceeds the project impacts after 1 full growing season (Year 2).

1.7 Mitigation Work Plan

The Permittee will plant suitable herbaceous marsh plants as described below on BU dredged material placed as part of this project. The goal of the restoration will be the establishment of approximately 25 acres of intertidal herbaceous vegetation within the larger BU area. As the nature of the dredged material will be sand, clay, and silt, settlement and dewatering time should be 3 months or less via decant and evaporation prior to vegetative establishment activities discussed below.

The proposed mitigation plan for the stated impacts will be accomplished by establishing marsh vegetation on approximately 25 acres within the newly created beneficial use disposal area # 1 (see BU Disposal Plan) within the Rose City Marsh. Emergent marsh plants, including smooth cordgrass (*Spartina alterniflora*), saltmeadow cordgrass, salt marsh

bulrush (*Scirpus robustus.*), bulltongue (*Sagittaria lancifolia*), saltgrass (*Distichlis spicata*), black rush (*Juncus roemerianus*), giant bulrush (*Schenoplectis californicus*), and southern blue flag iris (*Iris virginica*), will be planted in the 25-acre mitigation site (see Figures 2 and 3). This planting zone will provide enhanced stabilization of the BU dredged materials.

Species selection will be based on tested salinity and resultant elevation of the placed dredged material at time of planting. Generally, freshly deposited dredged material is of higher salinity that the ultimately intended plant community. Additionally, the exact placement of the plantings cannot be predicted until the constructed contours of the marsh floor are determined at the time of planting. Initial planting has best success if plant species are selected to match the salinity and elevation of the new dredged material rather than the intended future marsh community. The initial growth of the more salt-tolerant species helps to stabilize the dredged material as well as begin adding organic material and stabilizing nutrient cycles to aid in the natural transition to a less saline plant community as salts leach from the dredged materials. Planting is intended to take place in the first fall (September or October) or spring (March-April) following placement of the BU materials. Specific timing will depend on permit issuance and completion of the project dredging and BU disposal. It is estimated that planting will take approximately 2 weeks during that time period.

Information obtained from Texas Parks and Wildlife Department indicates that typical salinities observed during previous marsh enhancement activities in the Bessie Heights area downstream of the project site ranged between 1.5 ppt to 9 ppt with the most frequent range being 2 to 4 ppt. Higher salinities could be encountered during dredging activities in the deeper parts of the river at the project site; however, those salinities would be mixed with lower salinity dredge effluent in the BU area and would not be expected to result in high salinity concentrations. It is also expected that salinities will quickly leach from the BU area due to rainfall and tidal exchange. Previous BU projects along the Neches River have not resulted in problematic salinities in the BU placement area. In the event prolonged high salinity levels are encountered during the dredging process, fresher water will be introduced to the dredge effluent or BU placement area to dilute salinities. Lower salinity water will be obtained from local surface waters in the BU area.

It is likely that saltmeadow cordgrass and salt marsh bulrush will be the best species for transplantation at this site due to their salt tolerance and rapid growth capabilities. Other species that may be planted include bulltongue, black rush, giant bulrush, seashore paspalum (Paspalum vaginatum), and southern blue flag iris (*Iris virginica*). These plant species were selected for their salinity tolerances, wildlife food and/or cover benefits, presence in the adjacent marshes, and ease of transplantation. Plants will be transplanted from local sources as bare-root individual stems, or 2-inch or larger plugs. Plants will be selected and installed according to established sediment elevations. A transplant permit from the Texas Parks and Wildlife Department will be obtained prior to the transplanting.

POB South PRM-BU Mitigation Plan



180201 - Orange County South Terminal\Graphics\180201PA_07A_Mitigation_Area.mxd



Herbaceous species will be planted over approximately 25 acres of the restored marsh area. Approximately 40,000 to 50,000 plants or sprigs will be installed on the restored marsh floor on approximate 5- to 10-foot centers.

Due to the expected consistency of the dredged materials at the time of BU placement, it is unlikely that formation of specific tide channels will be feasible during the placement activities. Over several years, it is expected that tide channels and ponds will naturally develop in the low areas of the BU marsh floor by erosion due to tidal influxes and drainage of rainwater. The formation of tide channels may be enhanced by cutting small portals in the containment levees that correspond with adjacent low areas in the BU marsh floor. This will allow for enhanced tidal exchange and channel cutting in these areas.

1.8 Maintenance Plan

Due to the presence of Chinese tallow, cattails, alligatorweed, giant salvinia, and common reed in adjacent areas, the restored marsh will be closely monitored. Invading non-desirable species will be treated with careful annual herbicide application during the annual site inspections. Although not currently present in numbers that represent a problem, the following species are also deemed to be potential nuisance species within the mitigation site: black willow (*Salix nigra*), salt cedar (*Tamarix ramosissima*), and deep-rooted sedge (*Cyperus enterianus*). If annual monitoring determines that their populations represent a discernable percentage of the total vegetative cover, these species will also be controlled with herbicide application.

Other than invasive species control, no other significant maintenance requirements are expected. Annual site inspections will be made by the Permittee or a designated representative who will note any maintenance issues that may be required.

1.9 Ecological Performance Standards

A transplant survival survey of the planted mitigation area will be performed within 60 calendar days following the conclusion of the initial planting effort. If at least 75% survival of transplants is not achieved within 60 calendar days of planting, a second planting effort will be completed within 60 calendar days of completing the initial survival survey. If optimal seasonal requirements for replanting desirable species are not suitable when replanting would be required, the USACE must approve all replanting schedules.

If after one year from the initial planting effort (or subsequent planting efforts) the site does not have at least 50% aerial coverage of desirable species that are not considered invasive or non-native, an additional planting effort will be completed within 60 calendar days of completing the annual survey.



If during the second year monitoring event evidence of the formation of tide channels and pools is not obvious, small windows will be cut in the containment levees and training levees that correspond with low elevations in the BU floor to allow for additional surface flow in those areas and channel cutting. Channel formation may also be enhanced by running an airboat across the low areas to form linear depressions in the marsh floor.

Written monitoring reports in conformance with Regulatory Guidance Letter No. 08-03 will include, but not be limited to: percent aerial coverage per acre of desirable species, percent aerial coverage per acre of invasive/non-native plant species, hydrology data, soil data, and photos of the mitigation site.

In addition to the initial survey report, monitoring reports will be submitted to the USACE Chief of Compliance Galveston Regulatory Branch bi-annually for the first year following the initial transplanting effort and annually for the next four years. This will be a total of five years.

Success Criteria:

1. Desirable species achieve a minimum aerial coverage of 80% in 5 growing seasons.

2. Invasive/Non-native species will not consist of more than 5 percent of the aerial coverage per acre. Invasive or non-native species are to be considered, but not limited to: Chinese tallow, cattail, giant salvinia, deep-rooted sedge, and black willow.

1.10 Monitoring Requirements

The Permittee will use vegetation sampling procedures approved by the USACE to annually survey and document the percent survival of planted vegetation and the aerial coverage of noxious plant species. The Permittee will survey and document the survival of planted vegetation and the percent aerial coverage of noxious plant species within the mitigation area and report that information to the USACE in the annual report in conformance with Regulatory Guidance Letter No. 08-03.

After five years of monitoring, the applicant will meet with the USACE. The applicant will present a brief summary of the five years of monitoring data. This progress report will allow the USACE to determine if the mitigation site needs to continue with the monitoring plan or if other corrective measures will be required.

POB South PRM-BU Mitigation Plan

onmental Services, Inc.

• If the mitigation area has been considered successful at this point, the applicant will no longer need to continue to monitor the mitigation area.

• If the mitigation area has been determined to be unsuccessful by USACE personnel at this point, the permittee will have the following options:

1. The applicant will be required to take the necessary corrective measures, as approved by the USACE, to correct the failing components of the mitigation area. The corrective measure plan will be submitted to the USACE within 60 days of the unsuccessful determination of the mitigation area.

a. Once the corrective measures have been approved by the USACE and implemented, the permittee will notify the USACE and the monitoring process will start over. This 5-year cycle will continue until the mitigation area is considered successful.

b. When the mitigation area has been considered successful, monitoring and management activities will shift over to the long-term management and adaptive management measures discussed below.

2. The applicant may choose to cease monitoring of the mitigation area and provide an alternative mitigation plan. This alternative mitigation plan will consist of preservation, enhancement, and/or mitigation banking. The alternative mitigation plan will mitigate the failure of the 25 acre mitigation area. The alternative mitigation plan will be submitted to the USACE within 60 days of the unsuccessful determination of the mitigation area.

1.11 Long-term Management

The Permittee will ensure the success of the mitigation site for 5 years in accordance with the performance standards stated above. Beyond that time, the mitigation site should be a generally self-sustaining preservation area. The Permittee will remain responsible for the mitigation site following demonstration of achieving the stated success criteria. The Permittee will arrange with Mr. Arnaud or Sabine Neches Conservation, Inc. for long term management of the mitigation area. The designated conservation trustee will provide continued monitoring and oversight of the mitigation site as needed.

1.12 Adaptive Management Plan

Other than the possibility of fire or other natural disasters (hurricanes, tornados, extended drought, etc), no significant loss of the marsh habitat or ecological values of the site are expected over time. In the unlikely event of any significant loss of ecological values as may



be reported by the Permittee or Mr. Arnaud, the Permittee will coordinate with the USACE to determine appropriate reclamation procedures, if any.

1.13 Financial Assurances

The permittee will execute a binding agreement with a mitigation implementation and management company to execute and maintain the authorized mitigation.

2.0 RESPONSIBLE PARTIES AND MITIGATION MONITORING AND REPORTING

The above-named permittee is responsible for the implementation of the proposed mitigation plan. The permittee (or a designated representative) will act as the responsible party to coordinate with the USACE on mitigation plan compliance and site inspections. The permittee will also establish a self-monitoring program that includes monitoring and reporting to the USACE as described above. The mitigation monitoring and reporting will be continued until written confirmation from the USACE is received that the planted mitigation area has met success criteria (up to 5 years) and is on the way to developing the intended type of functions.

In addition to the annual monitoring reports, the permittee will submit a final written compliance report to the USACE within 30 days of completion of all construction and mitigation work. This report will include: a) a statement that the authorized work and required mitigation was done in accordance with USACE authorization, including all general and specific conditions; b) a summary of all construction and mitigation activities; c) a comparison of the post-construction and pre-construction site condition; d) a detailed description of all impacts to "waters of the US"; e) a map showing the final configuration of mitigation areas and features; f) the species, number, and acreage of the vegetation planted; the final topographic elevations of the project; and a map describing the location of the plantings; g) a discussion about erosional damage and whether disturbed areas are adequately vegetated; and h) photographs and maps, as appropriate, to illustrate the information presented.

3.0 CONSERVATION STEWARDSHIP

The mitigation area will be protected by conservation easement to be held by Sabine Neches Conservation, Inc.

4.0 MITIGATION SCHEDULE

A mitigation agreement between the Permittee and Mr. Arnaud will be initiated concurrent with start of site construction activities that result in impacts to waters of the US. Planting is intended to take place in the first fall (September or October) or spring (March-April)



following placement of the BU materials. Specific timing will depend on permit issuance and completion of the basin dredging and BU disposal. It is estimated that planting will take approximately 2 weeks during that time period.



APPENDIX A

iHGM ANALYSIS

POB South PRM-BU Mitigation Plan

POB SOUTH TERMINAL - IHGM SUMMARY									
WAA	Acres	Storage	Biological	Removal	TOTAL				
2	2.5	1.88	1.33	1.85	5.06				
5	4.38	1.29	2.37	2.18	5.84				
6	2.81	1.79	1.64	1.76	5.19				
7	7.75	5.91	5.17	5.09	16.17				
8	3.16	2.89	2.11	1.98	6.98				
TOTAL	20.6	13.76	12.62	12.86	39.24				

Mitigation Site	25	18.75	13.33	13.92	46
(Year 2)					

HGM Class: RIVERINE HGM subclass: LOW GRADIENT FORESTED Project: Port of Beaumont South Area(s): WAA2 - DP 195 Acres: 2.50

Temporary Storage and Detention of Surface Water Storage Coefficient (FCI) = square root (/square root (/vdur * Vfrq)) * ((Vtopo + Vcwd + Vveg)/3))		VARIABLES	Score	Criteria
		Vdur	1.00	In average year, at least 80% of site either floods or ponds for at least 14 days
		(duration of flooding)	0.75	In average year, at least 80% of site either floods or ponds for at least 7 days
			0.50	In average year, 50 to 79% of site floods or ponds for at least 7 days
Storage Coefficient (FCI):			0.25	In average year, 25 to 49% of site floods or ponds for at least 7 days
0.75			0.10	In average year, all or portions floods or ponds from 1 - 7 days
Acres:			0.00	The area is not subject to flooding or ponding
2.50	0.75	Vfrq	1.00	Floods or ponds annually 5 out of 5 years
Functional Unit (FCU) = Coefficient (FCI) * Acres:		(frequency of flooding)	0.75	Floods or ponds 3 of 5 years or 4 of 5 years
1.875			0.50	Floods or ponds 2 of 5 years
			0.25	Floods or ponds less than 2 of 5 years
			0.00	The area is not subject to flooding or ponding
	1.00	Vtopo	1.00	> 30% of the site is represented by rises, dips, hummocks, channel sloughs and other topographic features
Maintenance of Plant and Animal Communities		(topography)	0.70	15 to 30% of the site is represented by rises, dips, hummocks, channel sloughs and other topographic features
Maintenance Coefficient (FCI) =			0.40	< 15% of the site is covered by rises, dips, hummocks, channel sloughs and other topographic features
(Vtree+Vcwd+Vrich+((Vbasal+Vdensity)/2)+((Vmid+Vherb)/2)+Vconnect)/6			0.10	Smooth, flat, or very gently undulating with little or no topographic relief
	0.50	Vcwd	1.00	> 7 pieces of cwd > 3" diameter along 100' transect
Maintenance Coefficient (FCI):		(coarse woody debris)	0.50	3 to 7 pieces of cwd > 3* diameter along 100' transect
0.53			0.30	< 3 pieces of cwd > 3" diameter along 100' transect
Acres:			0.10	Area is openland (pasture or cropland)
2.50	0.75	Vveg	1.00	> 90% of area is covered by woody vegetation
Functional Unit (FCU) = Coefficient (FCI) * Acres:		(vegetation)	0.75	67 to 89% of area is covered by woody vegetation
1.333]		0.50	34 to 66% of area is covered by woody vegetation
			0.25	11 to 33% of are is covered by woody vegetation
			0.10	1 to 10% of area is covered by woody vegetation
	0.30	Vtree	1.00	At least 60% of stand is oak, hickory or elm (black willow, cottonwood, tallow and sycamore = < 5% of stand)
Removal and Sequestration of Elements and Compounds		(tree species)	0.80	> 40% of stand is oak, hickory or elm (black willow, cottonwood, tallow and sycamore = < 10% of stand)
Removal Coefficient (FCI) =			0.50	> 20% of stand is oak, hickory or elm (black willow, cottonwood, tallow and sycamore = < 15% of stand)
(Vveg+Vfrq+Vdur+((Vtopo+Vcwd+Vveg)/3)+((Vdetritus+Vredox+Vsorp)/3))/5			0.30	< 20% of stand is oak, hickory or elm
			0.10	The area is openland
Removal Coefficient (FCI):	0.40	Vrich	1.00	5 or more tree species present
0.74		(tree species richness)	0.80	4 tree species present
Acres:		trees > 5% of stand	0.60	3 tree species present
2.50	-		0.40	1 or 2 species present
Functional Unit (FCU) = Coefficient (FCI) * Acres:			0.10	The site is openland
1.850	0.40	Vbasal	1.00	The basal area (DBH) of site averages > 100 sq ft/acre
		(tree basal area)	0.80	The basal area of site averages 80 to 100 sq ft/acre
			0.60	The basal area of the site averages 60 to 79 sq ft/acre
			0.40	The basal area of the site averages < 60 sq ft/acre
		M.1. 1.	0.10	The site is openland
	0.60	Vdensity	1.00	The site averages a tree density of 100 to 250 trees/acre
		(tree density)	0.60	The site averages a tree density of 250 to 500 trees/acre OR 50 to 100 trees/acre
			0.40	The site averages < 50 trees/acre
	0.50	Vi-d	0.10	Ine site is openiand
	0.50	(midsten)	1.00	Nilostory cover averages > 50%
		(mustory)	0.75	Musicury cuver averages of 10 00%
			0.50	Midstory cover averages 11 to 30%
			0.30	Willstory Caver < 10%
	1.00	Vborb	1.00	Hite site is uperindru Herhangenie cover averange 5 to 30%
	1.00	(harbacaous laver)	0.50	
		(nerbaceous layer)	0.50	Herbaceous cover averages 31 (0 50%
			0.30	The site is deminated by tame pacture enories or is analyzed.
	0.75	Vconnect	1.00	Metland plus four habitate and/or surrounded by forceted
	0.75	(connection to other babitat)	0.75	Weitend plus tour nationals and/or surrounded by torested
		(connection to other habitat)	0.75	Wetaled plus and other habitat uppe (other man oriested) OK thee of more habitat types
			0.30	Verality pus one one matural types of two unier natural types
			0.20	Surround by urban (homes lawn concrete atc)
	1 00	Vdetritus	1.00	> 85% of the area possesses an O or A horizon
	1.00	(detritus)	0.50	From 11 to 84% of the area possesses an O or A horizon
		(401.140)	0.30	- 10% of the area possesses and O or A Horizon
			0.00	Site is nowed
	1.00	Vredox	1.00	Redox features represent >20% of the pedon within the top 4" of soil surface (mottles = many)
	1.00	(redoximorphic processes)	0.10	Redox features < 20% (mottles = common or few)
	0 10	Vsorp	1.00	Site is dominated by clays (clay, clay, loam, silty clay, loams) or highly organic (value=2/chroma=1: 2/2: 3/1)
	0.10	(sorptive soil properties)	0.50	Site is dominated by loans (silt loans, very fine sandy loans, fine sandy loans) OR non-montmorillonitic clave
		(serbare son properties)	0.10	Site is dominated by sands (sands, loamy fine sands, loamy sands)
			00	the restanting of the second o

HGM Class: RIVERINE

HGM subclass: LOW GRADIENT FORESTED Project: Port of Beaumont South

Area(s): WAA5 - DP 140

Acres: 4.38

Temporary Storage and Detention of Surface Water Site Score VARIABLES Score Criteria Storage Coefficient (FCI) = 0.10 Vdu 1.00 In average year, at least 80% of site either floods or ponds for at least 14 days square root((square root (Vdur * Vfrq)) * ((Vtopo + Vcwd + Vveg)/3)) (duration of flooding) 0.75 In average year, at least 80% of site either floods or ponds for at least 7 days 0.50 In average year, 50 to 79% of site floods or ponds for at least 7 days Storage Coefficient (FCI): 0.25 In average year, 25 to 49% of site floods or ponds for at least 7 days 0 29 0.10 In average year, all or portions floods or ponds from 1 - 7 days Acres 0.00 The area is not subject to flooding or ponding 4.38 0.25 1.00 Floods or ponds annually 5 out of 5 years Vfrq frequency of flooding) Functional Unit (FCU) = Coefficient (FCI) * Acres: 0.75 Floods or ponds 3 of 5 years or 4 of 5 years 1.292 0.50 Floods or ponds 2 of 5 years 0.25 Floods or ponds less than 2 of 5 years 0.00 The area is not subject to flooding or ponding 0.40 Vtopo 1.00 > 30% of the site is represented by rises, dips, hummocks, channel sloughs and other topographic features (topography) 0.70 15 to 30% of the site is represented by rises, dips, hummocks, channel sloughs and other topographic features 0.40 < 15% of the site is covered by rises, dips, hummocks, channel sloughs and other topographic features Maintenance of Plant and Animal Communities 0.10 Smooth, flat, or very gently undulating with little or no topographic relief Maintenance Coefficient (FCI) = 0.50 Vcwd 1.00 > 7 pieces of cwd > 3" diameter along 100' transect (Vtree+Vcwd+Vrich+((Vbasal+Vdensity)/2)+((Vmid+Vherb)/2)+Vconnect)/6 coarse woody debris) 0.50 3 to 7 pieces of cwd > 3" diameter along 100' transec 0.30 < 3 pieces of cwd > 3" diameter along 100' transect Maintenance Coefficient (FCI): 0.10 Area is openland (pasture or cropland) 0.54 0.75 Vveg 1.00 > 90% of area is covered by woody vegetation Acres: vegetation) 0.75 67 to 89% of area is covered by woody vegetation 4 38 0.50 34 to 66% of area is covered by woody vegetation Functional Unit (FCU) = Coefficient (FCI) * Acres: 0.25 11 to 33% of are is covered by woody vegetation 2.373 0.10 1 to 10% of area is covered by woody vegetation 0.30 1.00 At least 60% of stand is oak, hickory or elm (black willow, cottonwood, tallow and sycamore = < 5% of stand) Vtree tree species) 0.80 > 40% of stand is oak, hickory or elm (black willow, cottonwood, tallow and sycamore = < 10% of stand) 0.50 > 20% of stand is oak, hickory or elm (black willow, cottonwood, tallow and sycamore = < 15% of stand) 0.30 < 20% of stand is oak, hickory or elm 0.10 The area is openland Removal and Sequestration of Elements and Compounds Removal Coefficient (FCI) = 0.60 Vrich 1.00 5 or more tree species present (Vveq+Vfrq+Vdur+((Vtopo+Vcwd+Vveq)/3)+((Vdetritus+Vredox+Vsorp)/3))/5 (tree species richness) 0.80 4 tree species present ees > 5% of stand 0.60 3 tree species present Removal Coefficient (FCI): 0.40 1 or 2 species present 0.50 0.10 The site is openland Acres: 0.60 Vbasal 1.00 The basal area (DBH) of site averages > 100 sq ft/acre (tree basal area) 0.80 The basal area of site averages 80 to 100 sq ft/acre Functional Unit (FCU) = Coefficient (FCI) * Acres: 0.60 The basal area of the site averages 60 to 79 sq ft/acre 2 175 0.40 The basal area of the site averages < 60 sg ft/acre 0.10 The site is openland 1.00 The site averages a tree density of 100 to 250 trees/acre 1.00 Vdensity (tree density) 0.60 The site averages a tree density of 250 to 500 trees/acre OR 50 to 100 trees/acre 0.40 The site averages < 50 trees/acre 0.10 The site is openland 0.30 Vmid 1.00 Midstory cover averages > 50% midstory) 0.75 Midstory cover averages 31 to 50% 0.50 Midstory cover averages 11 to 30% 0.30 Midstory cover < 10% 0.10 The site is openland 0.30 Vherb 1.00 Herbaceous cover averages 5 to 30% (herbaceous laver) 0.50 Herbaceous cover averages 31 to 50% 0.30 Herbaceous cover < 5% OR > 50% 0.10 The site is dominated by tame pasture species or is cropland 0.75 Vconnect 1.00 Wetland plus four habitats and/or surrounded by forested connection to other habitat) 0.75 Wetland plus two or more habitat type (other than forested) OR three or more habitat types 0.50 WetaInd plus one other habitat types or two other habitat types 0.25 One other habitat types other than urban habitat 0.10 Surround by urban (homes, lawn, concrete, etc) 0.50 Vdetritus 1.00 > 85% of the area possesses an O or A horizon (detritus) 0.50 From 11 to 84% of the area possesses an O or A horizon 0.30 < 10% of the area possesses and O or A horizon 0.10 Site is plowed 1.00 Vredox 1.00 Redox features represent >20% of the pedon within the top 4" of soil surface (mottles = many) (redoximorphic processes) 0.10 Redox features < 20% (mottles = common or few) 1.00 Vsorp 1.00 Site is dominated by clavs (clay, clay loam, silty clay loams) or highly organic (value=2/chroma=1; 2/2; 3/1) (sorptive soil properties) 0.50 Site is dominated by loams (silt loams, very fine sandy loams, fine sandy loams, loams) OR non-montmorillonitic clays 0.10 Site is dominated by sands (sands, loamy fine sands, loamy sands)

HGM Class: RIVERINE HGM subclass: LOW GRADIENT HERBACEOUS/SCRUB/SHRUB

Project:	Port of Beaumont South	Area(s): WAA6 DP 994	Acres:	2.81		
	Temporary Storage and I	Detention of Surface Water	Site Score	VARIABL	ES Score	Criteria
	Storage Coefficient (FCI) = ((Vdur * Vfrg)/.5*(Vtopo + (Vherb+Vmid/2)/2)/.5)		1.00	Vdur	1.00	In average year, at least 80% of site either floods or ponds for at least 14 days
				(duration of flooding)	0.75	In average year, at least 80% of site either floods or ponds for at least 7 days
					0.50	In average year, 50 to 79% of site floods or ponds for at least 7 days
	Storage Co	efficient (FCI):			0.25	In average year, 25 to 50% of site floods or ponds for at least 7 days
	0	.64			0.10	In average year, all or portions floods or ponds from 1 - 7 days
	Ad	cres:			0.00	The area is not subject to flooding or ponding
	2	.81	1.00	Vfrq	1.00	Floods or ponds annually 5 out of 5 years
	Functional Unit (FCU) =	Coefficient (FCI) * Acres:		(frequency of flooding) 0.75	Floods or ponds 3 of 5 years or 4 of 5 years
	1	.79			0.50	Floods or ponds 2 of 5 years
					0.25	Floods or ponds less than 2 of 5 years
					0.00	The area is not subject to flooding or ponding
			0.40	Vtopo	1.00	> 30% of the site is represented by rises, dips, hummocks, channel sloughs and other topographic features
	Maintenance of Plant a	and Animal Communities		(topography)	0.70	15 to 30% of the site is represented by rises, dips, hummocks, channel sloughs and other topographic features
	Maintenance C	Coefficient (FCI) =			0.40	< 15% of the site is covered by rises, dips, hummocks, channel sloughs and other topographic features
	(Vmid+Vher	b+Vconnect)/3			0.10	Smooth, flat, or very gently undulating with little or no topographic relief
			0.75	Vherb	1.00	Herbaceous cover averages >75
	Maintenance C	Coefficient (FCI):		(herbaceous layer)	0.75	Herbaceous cover averages between 50-75%
	0	.58			0.50	Herbaceous cover averages between 25-50%
	Ad	cres:			0.25	Herbaceous cover averages between 1-25%
	2				0.10	Herbaceous cover is equal to or <1%
	Functional Unit (FCU) =	Coefficient (FCI) * Acres:	0.25	Vmid	1.00	Midstory cover averages > 75%
	1	.64		(midstory)	0.75	Midstory cover averages 50 to 75%
					0.50	Midstory cover averages 25 to 50%
					0.25	Midstory cover averages 1 to 25%
					0.10	The site is openland
			0.75	Vconnect	1.00	Wetland plus four habitats and/or surrounded by forested
	Removal and Sequestration	of Elements and Compounds		(connection to other h	abitat) 0.75	Wetland plus two or more habitat type (other than forested) OR three or more habitat types
,	Removal Co	encient (FGI) =			0.50	Verland plus one other nabilat types or two other nabilat types
((vwood+vfreq+vaur+((vtopo+vnerb+v	(vaetritus+vredox+vsorpt)/3))/5)			0.25	One other nabitat types other than urban nabitat
	Demousl Co	-finiant (FCI)	0.50	Vineed	0.10	Surround by urban (nomes, lawn, concrete, etc)
	Removal Co	encient (FCI):	0.50	vwood	1.00	> 90% of area is covered by woody vegetation
	Ű			(woody vegetation)	0.75	67 to 35% of area is covered by woody vegetation
	Ad	cres:			0.50	34 to 50% of area is covered by woody vegetation
	Eurotional Unit (ECU) -		-		0.23	1 to 30% of are is covered by woody vegetation
		ze	0.20	Vdotrituo	0.10	10 10% of aled is covered by woody vegetation
		.70	0.30	(detritue)	1.00	From 11 to 84% of the group personance on O or A herizon
				(uetittus)	0.30	10% of the area possesses and O or A horizon
					0.30	< 10% of the alea possesses and C of A horizon
			0.10	Vredox	1.00	one is proved. Reday features represent >20% of the pedon within the top 4" of soil surface (mottles - many).
			0.10	(rodovimorphic proco	1.00	Peder features - 20% (methos - company of cut)
			0.10	Vsorn	1 0.10	Site is dominated by clavs (active a clav loar hole w)
			5.10	(sorptive soil propertie	ac) 0.50	Site is dominated by loads (site), our loans and loans or name of game of game (raide=20100ma=1, 22, 017)
				(sorbuve son propertie	5) 0.50	Site is dominated by loants (site loants, very line sandy loants, line salidy loants, loants) OK hon-monthing line lights

HGM Class: RIVERINE
HGM subclass: LOW GRADIENT HERBACEOUS/SCRUB/SHRUB

Project: Port of Beaumont South Area(s): WAA7 DP 147	Acre(s):	7.75		
Temporary Storage and Detention of Surface Water	Site Score	VARIABLES	Score	Criteria
Storage Coefficient (FCI) =	1.00	Vdur	1.00	In average year, at least 80% of site either floods or ponds for at least 14 days
((Vdur * Vfrg)/.5*(Vtopo + (Vherb+Vmid/2)/2)/.5)		(duration of flooding)	0.75	In average year, at least 80% of site either floods or ponds for at least 7 days
			0.50	In average year, 50 to 79% of site floods or ponds for at least 7 days
Storage Coefficient (FCI):			0.25	In average year, 25 to 50% of site floods or ponds for at least 7 days
0.76			0.10	In average year, all or portions floods or ponds from 1 - 7 days
Acres:			0.00	The area is not subject to flooding or ponding
7.75	1 00	Vfra	1.00	Floods or ponds annually 5 out of 5 years
Eunctional Unit (ECU) = Coefficient (ECU * Acres:	1100	(frequency of flooding)	0.75	Floods of points and any of set of points
		(noquonoy or noounig)	0.50	Floads or ponds 2 of 5 years
0.01	_		0.30	Floads or pands Lot of Skars
			0.23	The area in part and bracking an appending
	0.40	Vtopo	0.00	20% of the site is represented burges, dise, hummarke, shappel clouds and other tenagraphic features
Maintenance of Blant and Animal Communities	0.40	(topo	0.70	2 50% of the site is represented by rises, dips, nummous, channel sloughs and other topographic features
		(topography)	0.70	15 to 30% of the site is represented by rises, ups, nummocks, channel sloughs and other topographic features
			0.40	 To solutile site is covered by rises, dips, nummocss, chainer solugits and other topographic reactes
(Vmid+Vnerb+Vconnect)/3	1.00	10	0.10	Smooth, hat, or very genuly undulating with little or no topographic relief
	1.00	vnerb	1.00	Herbaceous cover averages >/5
Maintenance Coefficient (FCI):		(nerbaceous layer)	0.75	Herbaceous cover averages between 50-75%
0.67			0.50	Herbaceous cover averages between 25-50%
Acres:			0.25	Herbaceous cover averages between 1-25%
7.75			0.10	Herbaceous cover is equal to or <1%
Functional Unit (FCU) = Coefficient (FCI) * Acres:	0.25	Vmid	1.00	Midstory cover averages > 75%
5.17		(midstory)	0.75	Midstory cover averages 50 to 75%
			0.50	Midstory cover averages 25 to 50%
			0.25	Midstory cover averages 1 to 25%
			0.10	The site is openland
	0.75	Vconnect	1.00	Wetland plus four habitats and/or surrounded by forested
Removal and Sequestration of Elements and Compounds		(connection to other habitat)	0.75	Wetland plus two or more habitat type (other than forested) OR three or more habitat types
Removal Coefficient (FCI) =			0.50	WetaInd plus one other habitat types or two other habitat types
((Vwood+Vfreq+Vdur+((Vtopo+Vherb+Vmid)/3)+((Vdetritus+Vredox+Vsorpt)/3))/5)			0.25	One other habitat types other than urban habitat
			0.10	Surround by urban (homes, lawn, concrete, etc)
Removal Coefficient (FCI):	0.50	Vwood	1.00	> 90% of area is covered by woody vegetation
0.66		(woody vegetation)	0.75	67 to 89% of area is covered by woody vegetation
Acres:			0.50	34 to 66% of area is covered by woody vegetation
7.75			0.25	11 to 33% of are is covered by woody vegetation
Functional Unit (FCU) = Coefficient (FCI) * Acres:			0.10	1 to 10% of area is covered by woody vegetation
5.09	0.50	Vdetritus	1.00	> 85% of the area possesses an Q or A horizon
	0.00	(detritus)	0.50	From 11 to 84% of the area possesses an Q or A horizon
		()	0.30	< 10% of the area possesses and Q or A horizon
			0.00	Site is named
	0.10	Vredox	1.00	Beday features represent $>20\%$ of the pedan within the top 4" of soil surface (mottles - many)
	0.10	(redoximorphic processes)	0.10	Padov features < 20% (motiles = common of few)
	0.10	Veorn	1.00	Site is downated by claw (claw claw loam site claw loams) or highly organic (value=2/chroma=1: 2/2: 2/4)
	0.10	(sorptive soil properties)	0.50	Site is dominated by leave (site leave), using leaving ideal to ingining organic (value=2/unonia=1, 2/2, 3/1)
		(sorprive son properties)	0.50	

HGM Class: RIVERINE
HGM subclass: LOW GRADIENT HERBACEOUS/SCRUB/SHRUB

Project: Port of Beaumont South Area(s): WAA8 DP 148	Acre(s):	3.16		
Temporary Storage and Detention of Surface Water	Site Score	VARIABLES	Score	Criteria
Storage Coefficient (FCI) =	1.00	Vdur	1.00	In average year, at least 80% of site either floods or ponds for at least 14 days
(((Vdur * Vfrq)/.5)*(Vtopo + (Vherb+Vmid/2)/2)*0.5)		(duration of flooding)	0.75	In average year, at least 80% of site either floods or ponds for at least 7 days
			0.50	In average year, 50 to 79% of site floods or ponds for at least 7 days
Storage Coefficient (FCI):			0.25	In average year, 25 to 50% of site floods or ponds for at least 7 days
0.91			0.10	In average year, all or portions floods or ponds from 1 - 7 days
Acres:			0.00	The area is not subject to flooding or ponding
3.16	1.00	Vfrg	1.00	Floods or bonds annually 5 out of 5 years
Functional Unit (ECII) = Coefficient (ECI) * Acres:		(frequency of flooding)	0.75	Floods or ponds 3 of 5 years or 4 of 5 years
		(noquency et needing)	0.50	Floods of ponds 2 of 5 years
100			0.25	Floads or pands Lets than 2 of 5 years
			0.20	The area is not subject to flooding or ponding
	0 70	Vtopo	1.00	- 30% of the site is represented by rises diss hummorks channel sloughs and other topographic features
Maintenance of Plant and Animal Communities	0.10	(topography)	0.70	2 to 30% of the site is represented by rises, dips, hummooks, channel sloughs and other topographic features
Maintenance of Faint and Annual Conficient (ECI) –		(topographiy)	0.70	15 to 50% the site is the reserved by rises, dues, information of an interior output and the information of the site is the reserved by rises and th
			0.40	S ho hol the size is concered by rises, dips, initial occurs is along its and other topographic readines
(Vilid+Vierb+Vconiect//S	1.00	Vhorb	0.10	Sindour, nat, or very general and and with nue of no topographic feller
Maintenance Coefficient (ECI)	1.00	(herbesseus lever)	0.75	Herbaceous cover averages >/5
		(nerbaceous layer)	0.75	Herbaceous cover averages between 50-75%
0.67			0.50	Herbaceous cover averages between 25-50%
Acres:			0.25	Herbaceous cover averages between 1-25%
3.10	0.05	Marti	0.10	Herbaceous cover is equal to or <1%
Functional Unit (FCU) = Coefficient (FCI) * Acres:	0.25	Vmid	1.00	Midstory cover averages > 75%
2.11		(midstory)	0.75	Midstory cover averages 50 to 75%
			0.50	Midstory cover averages 25 to 50%
			0.25	Midstory cover averages 1 to 25%
	· · · · · · ·		0.10	The site is openland
Removal and Sequestration of Elements and Compounds	0.75	Vconnect	1.00	Wetland plus four habitats and/or surrounded by forested
Removal Coefficient (FCI) =		(connection to other habitat)	0.75	Wetland plus two or more habitat type (other than forested) OR three or more habitat types
((Vwood+Vfreq+Vdur+((Vtopo+Vherb+Vmid)/3)+((Vdetritus+Vredox+Vsorpt)/3))/5)			0.50	WetaInd plus one other habitat types or two other habitat types
			0.25	One other habitat types other than urban habitat
Removal Coefficient (FCI):			0.10	Surround by urban (homes, lawn, concrete, etc)
0.63	0.25	Vwood	1.00	> 90% of area is covered by woody vegetation
Acres:		(woody vegetation)	0.75	67 to 89% of area is covered by woody vegetation
3.16			0.50	34 to 66% of area is covered by woody vegetation
Functional Unit (FCU) = Coefficient (FCI) * Acres:			0.25	11 to 33% of are is covered by woody vegetation
1.98			0.10	1 to 10% of area is covered by woody vegetation
	0.50	Vdetritus	1.00	> 85% of the area possesses an O or A horizon
		(detritus)	0.50	From 11 to 84% of the area possesses an O or A horizon
			0.30	< 10% of the area possesses and O or A horizon
			0.10	Site is plowed
	0.10	Vredox	1.00	Redox features represent >20% of the pedon within the top 4" of soil surface (mottles = many)
		(redoximorphic processes)	0.10	Redox features < 20% (mottles = common or few)
	0.10	Vsorp	1.00	Site is dominated by clays (clay, clay loam, silty clay loams) or highly organic (value=2/chroma=1; 2/2; 3/1)
l		(sorptive soil properties)	0.50	Site is dominated by loams (site loams, very fine sandy loams, fine sandy loams, loams) OR non-montmorillonitic clays
		Iter Far S con brobor acc)	0.00	

HGM Class: RIVERINE
HGM subclass: LOW GRADIENT HERBACEOUS/SCRUB/SHRUB

Project:	Port of Beaumont South	Area(s): Mitgation Site Year 2	Acre(s):	25		
	Temporary Storage and	Detention of Surface Water	Site Score	VARIABLES	Score	Criteria
	Storage Co	efficient (FCI) =	1.00	Vdur	1.00	In average year, at least 80% of site either floods or ponds for at least 14 days
	((Vdur * Vfrq)/.5*(Vtop	o + (Vherb+Vmid/2)/2)/.5)		(duration of flooding)	0.75	In average year, at least 80% of site either floods or ponds for at least 7 days
					0.50	In average year, 50 to 79% of site floods or ponds for at least 7 days
	Storage Co	pefficient (FCI):			0.25	In average year, 25 to 50% of site floods or ponds for at least 7 days
	•	0.75			0.10	In average year, all or portions floods or ponds from 1 - 7 days
	A	cres:			0.00	The area is not subject to flooding or ponding
		25	1.00	Vfrg	1.00	Floods or ponds annually 5 out of 5 years
	Functional Unit (FCU)	= Coefficient (FCI) * Acres:		(frequency of flooding)	0.75	Floods or ponds 3 of 5 years or 4 of 5 years
		8.75		(· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	0.50	Floods or ponds 2 of 5 years
					0.25	Floods or ponds less than 2 of 5 years
					0.00	The area is not subject to flooding or ponding
			0.70	Vtopo	1.00	> 30% of the site is represented by rises, dips, hummocks, channel sloughs and other topographic features
	Maintenance of Plant	and Animal Communities		(topography)	0.70	15 to 30% of the site is represented by rises, dips, hummocks, channel sloughs and other topographic features
	Maintenance	Coefficient (FCI) =		(1 3 1 3	0.40	< 15% of the site is covered by rises, dips, hummocks, channel sloughs and other topographic features
	(Vmid+Vhe	rb+Vconnect)/3			0.10	Smooth, flat, or very gently undulating with little or no topographic relief
	(0.75	Vherb	1.00	Herbaceous cover averages >75
	Maintenance	Coefficient (FCI):		(herbaceous laver)	0.75	Herbaceous cover averages between 50-75%
		0.53		(0.50	Herbaceous cover averages between 25-50%
	4	cres'			0.25	Herbaceous cover averages between 1-25%
		25			0.10	Herbaceous cover is equal to or <1%
	Functional Unit (FCU)	= Coefficient (FCI) * Acres:	0.10	Vmid	1.00	Midstory cover averages > 75%
		3.33		(midstory)	0.75	Midstory cover averages 50 to 75%
				(0.50	Midstory cover averages 25 to 50%
					0.25	Midstory cover averages 1 to 25%
					0.10	The site is openland
			0.75	Vconnect	1.00	Wetland plus four habitats and/or surrounded by forested
	Removal and Sequestratio	n of Elements and Compounds		(connection to other habitat)	0.75	Wetland plus two or more babitat type (other than forested) OR three or more babitat types
	Removal Co	pefficient (FCI) =		(,	0.50	Wetaind plus one other habitat types or two other habitat types
(Vwood+Vfrea+Vdur+((Vtopo+Vherb+	Vmid)/3)+((Vdetritus+Vredox+Vsorpt)/3))/5)			0.25	One other habitat types other than urban habitat
(0.10	Surround by urban (homes lawn concrete etc)
	Removal C	oefficient (FCI):	0.10	Vwood	1.00	Sensorial di area is covered by woody vegetation
		0.56	0110	(woody vegetation)	0.75	67 to 8% of area is covered by woody vegetation
	4			(nood) togotation)	0.50	At to 66% of area is covered by woody vegetation
	1	25			0.25	1 to 33% of are is covered by woody vegetation
	Eunctional Unit (ECU)	- Coefficient (ECI) * Acres:			0.10	to 10% of area is covered by woody vegetation
			0.30	Vdetritus	1.00	85% of the area possesses an Q or A britzon
		0.52	0.30	(detritus)	0.50	From 11 to 84% of the area possesses an O or A horizon
				(actinua)	0.30	2.10% of the area possesses and O or A horizon
					0.00	Sito is found
			0.10	Vredox	1.00	Redox features represent >20% of the pedon within the ton 4" of soil surface (mottles - many)
			0.10	(redoximorphic processes)	0.10	Reday features - 20% (mothes - company or few)
			0.10	Veorn	1.00	Site is downada w clavs (alay clav loan mon of tew)
			0.10	(corntive soil properties)	0.50	Site is dominated by lease (site) each very fear out is any end is any of gain (very lease) (very control in the start of
				(sorprive son properties)	0.50	Site is dominated by loans (site loans, very line sandy loans, line sandy loans, loans) OK hon-monutonino into tays